Practitioner's Docket No.

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: WHITE, H.

Application No.: 09 / 740,177

Group No.:

3724

Filed:

DEC. 18, 2000

Examiner:

ELEY, T.V.

For:

DRESSING WHEEL SYSTEM

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL

WARNING: Failure to file a complete response in compliance with § 1.135(c) leads to a reduction in patent term adjustment - See § 1.704(c)(7).

1. Transmitted herewith is an amendment for this application.

STATUS

2. Applicant is				
xxx a small entity. A statement:				
is attached.				
xxx was already filed.				
other than a small entity.	_			
(When using Express Mail, the	ER 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10* Express Mail label number is mandatory; certification is optional.)			
I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, th	is correspondence is being:			
	MAILING			
XXX deposited with the United States Postal Servi for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231	ce in an envelope addressed to the Assistant Commissioner			
37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a)	37 C.F.R. § 1.10 *			
XXX with sufficient postage as first class mail.	,			
	Mailing Label No (mandatory)			
	ANSMISSION			
☐ facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trader	nark Office, (703)			
	WILLIAM LIGHTBODY			
Date: 5-10-65	Signature			
Date:	WILLIAM S. LIGHTBODY			
	(type or print name of person certifying)			

(Amendment Transmittal [9-19]-page 1 of 4)

05/23/2005 SFELEKE1 00000029 09740177

01 FC:2252

225.00 OP

^{*} Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

EXTENSION OF TERM

NOTE: "Extension of Time in Patent Cases (Supplement Amendments) — If a timely and complete response has been filed after a Non-Final Office Action, an extension of time is not required to permit filing and/or entry of an additional amendment after expiration of the shortened statutory period.

If a timely response has been filed after a Final Office Action, an extension of time is required to permit filing and/or entry of a Notice of Appeal or filing and/or entry of an additional amendment after expiration of the shortened statutory period unless the timely-filed response placed the application in condition for allowance. Of course, if a Notice of Appeal has been filed within the shortened statutory period, the period has ceased to run." Notice of December 10, 1985 (1061 O.G. 34-35).

NOTE: See 37 C.F.R. § 1.645 for extensions of time in interference proceedings, and 37 C.F.R. § 1.550(c) for extensions of time in reexamination proceedings.

NOTE: 37 C.F.R. § 1.704(b) ". . .an applicant shall be deemed to have failed to engage in reasonable efforts to conclude processing or examination of an application for the cumulative total of any periods of time in excess of three months that are taken to reply to any notice or action by the Office making any rejection, objection, argument, or other request, measuring such three-month period from the date the notice or action was mailed or given to the applicant, in which case the period of adjustment set forth in § 1.703 shall be reduced by the number of days, if any, beginning on the day after the date that is three months after the date of mailing or transmission of the Office communication notifying the applicant of the rejection, objection, argument, or other request and ending on the date the reply was filed. The period, or shortened statutory period, for reply that is set in the Office action or notice has no effect on the three-month period set forth in this paragraph."

3. The proceedings herein are for a patent application and the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 apply.

(complete (a) or (b), as applicable)

(a) XXX Applicant petitions for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 (fees: 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(a)(1)-(4) for the total number of months checked below:

	Extension (months)	Fee for other than small entity	Fee for small entity		
	one month	\$ 110.00	\$ 55.00		
	two months	\$ 400.00	\$ 200.00		
	three months	\$ 920.00	\$ 460.00		
	four months	\$ 1,440.00	\$ 720.00		

Fee: \$_225.00

If an additional extension of time is required, please consider this a petition therefor.

(check and complete the next item, if applicable)

An extension for	_ months ha	as already b	peen secui	red. The	e fee
paid therefor of \$ is de					
months of extension now requested	d.				
-			_		

Extension fee due with this request \$_____

OR

(b) Applicant believes that no extension of term is required. However, this is a conditional petition is being made to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition for extension of time.

(Amendment Transmittal [9-19]—page 2 of 4)



FEE FOR CLAIMS

4.	The fe	e for clair	ns (37 C	.F.R. §	} 1.16(b	o)-(d)) has	been cal	culated	as sh	nown b	elow:		
	(Col. 1)			(C	ol. 2)	(Col. 3)	SMAL	SMALL ENTITY			OTHER THAN A SMALL ENTITY		
		CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER MENDMENT		PREV	IEST NO /IOUSLY D FOR	PRESENT EXTRA	- RATE	ADDIT. FEE	OR	RATE	ADDIT. FEE		
TOTAL	-	15	MINUS	••	22	=	x\$9=	\$	•	x\$18=	\$		
INDEF	·. •	4	MINUS	***	7	=	x\$42=	\$		x\$84 =	\$		
☐ FIR	ST PR	ESENTATION	OF MUL	TIPLE D	EP. CLAI	М	+\$140=	\$		+ \$280 =	: \$		
						AC	TOTAL DDIT. FEE	\$ 00.	<i>or</i> .00	TOTAL ADDIT. FEE \$			
wai	The "	Highest No. Col. 1 of a "After fina	Previously prior amei I rejection of requiremen	Paid Fondment or action to form	or" (Total or the nu or the nu or (§ 1.113 or which h	HIS SPACE is or indep.) is umber of clair amendment has been mader (d), as a	the highes ms original ts may be n de." 37 C.F	t number ly filed. nade cand F.R. § 1.1	found i	claims or	complying		
(c)	XX X	No additi	onal fee	for cla	ims is i	required.							
•						OR							
(d)		Total add	itional fe	e for c	aims r	equired \$.			 ·				
					FEE P	PAYMENT	г						
					_	order in arge the ar							
_		to Deposi	_			_	_	¥					
		to Credit form PTO		shown	on the	attached	credit ca	ırd infor	matio	n autho	orization		
						e included on		-					
		rge any ac ner author			quired	by this pa	per or cr	edit any	over _l	paymer	nt in the		
	A du	iplicate of	this pap	er is a	attached	d.							
						(.	Amendmer	it Transm	ittal [9-	- 19] —pag	ge 3 of 4)		

FEE DEFICIENCY

NOTE: If there is a fee deficiency and there is no authorization to charge an account, additional fees are necessary to cover the additional time consumed in making up the original deficiency. If the maximum, six-month period has expired before the deficiency is noted and corrected, the application is held abandoned. In those instances where authorization to charge is included, processing delays are encountered in returning the papers to the PTO Finance Branch in order to apply these charges prior to action on the cases. Authorization to charge the deposit account for any fee deficiency should be checked. See the Notice of April 7, 1986, (1065 O.G. 31-33).

6. ★★ If any additional extension and/or fee is required, charge Account No. ____12-1347

AND/OR

If any additional fee for claims is required, charge Account No. ____12-1347

Reg. No.: 29,557

Tel. No.: (216) 621-7337

Customer No.:

WOLLAW CIGHTDOOP

SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER

WILLIAM S. LIGHTBODY

(type or print name of practitioner)

LIGHTBODY LAW OFFICE

P.O. Address

32600 FAIRMOUNT BLVD., STE. 100

PEPPER PIKE, OHIO 44124

(Amendment Transmittal [9-19]-page 4 of 4)



Applicant: White, H.

Examiner: Eley, T.V.

Serial No: 09/740,177

Art Unit: 3724

File Date: December 18, 2000

Invention: DRESSING WHEEL SYSTEM

LIGHTBODY LAW OFFICE 32600 Fairmount Blvd., #100 Pepper Pike, Ohio 44124 (216) 621-7337 Phone (216) 621-7393 Facsimile

May 18, 2005

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Mail Stop Amendment Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

AMENDME<u>N</u>T

Dear Commissioner:

The USPTO issued an office action dated December 20, 2004 in respect to the above application. In this office action, the examiner allowed all claims except 39, 40, 41: These three claims were rejected on the basis of the newly cited Verega U.S. Patent 4,274,231.

In response to this office action, applicant has cancelled claims 39, 40, and 41.

This action should place the application into condition for allowance. Favorable action is solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

WILLIAM LIGHTADAS William S. Lightbody (29,557)